Collaboration & INTEROPERABILITY Congress - May 3-5, 2010

A Global PLM strategy in Ford Motor Company

www.3DCiC.com

Dr. Richard Riff, Director Office of the Technical Fellow Ford Motor Company







- A Global product strategy relies on a global PLM strategy
- A global PLM strategy is enabled by interoperability
- Interoperability must be achieved at all levels:



Level-1 Data & Tools Interoperability across core design and engineering activities

- Product Definition (Geometry)
- PMI & Metadata
- Analysis and Attributes
- PDM-Enabled



Level-2 Interoperability across PLM Processes and Components

- PDM
- BOM
- MFG Systems and Processes
- Requirements& Assumptions



Level-3 Interoperability across global vehicle programs

- Global Platform
- Global Commodities
- Global Release & Change Management
- Enabled by Standard
 Information Model



- CAD Interoperability
 - Working with geometry in one CAD tool with reference geometry from another CAD tool
- PDM Interoperability
 - Product Data is shared and exchanged between multiple purpose product data management centers.
- Visualization-based Interoperability
 - Product Data Pipeline
 - Data sharing and collaboration across multiple design and engineering disciplines using efficient product data representation.



C3PNG Levels of CAD Interoperability





Product Creation Systems A Global PDM - Teamcenter Centric



Ford Proprietary



JT-Based Interoperability: Multi-CAD Design in Context

Benefits:

- Multi-CAD / Single PDM Environment
- JT Generation via Engineering Translation Services
- JT Read Capabilities in CATIA V5 and IDEAS
- Seamless Integration. No manual translation/exchange is necessary

History:

- Began Development in 2001
- Initial Production in 2003
- Currently Deployed World-wide

Teamcenter Engineering



IDEAS Copyright © Ford Motor Company, 2005 - Ford Proprietary CATIA V5





SEARCH INVENTORY



JT Pipeline

JT Enabled Interoperability across core PD tools





Global CAE Strategy





Achieving Geometric Compatibility Requires Aligned BOM, CAD and DPA Processes





Digital Pre-Assembly

Geometric Verification, Compliance to Standards





Fully Integrated Digital Prototyping Environment





Significant impact on the Results



Ford Proprietary



Interoperability Level-2 Current Ford PLM Environment



Ford Proprietary





E FORD



C3P NG Deployment Landscape

- Multi-Site data sharing established across all sites
- Each site can exchange data with any other site
- Consolidation effort is currently under way





Sharing information across all PLM systems and tools





Systems Engineering Framework Integrating CAD/CAE and VSEM





• Allow best-in-class design tool usage



Interoperability – Level 3 Business Transformation





C1 TECHNOLOGIES BEGAN THE PROCESS



Ford Proprietary



Key Business Drivers:

- ✓ Capability
 - Provide an integrated Process/Methods/Tools Solution set with improved capabilities versus today
 - o Improve Vertical integration within Brands
 - Required to provide BIC capability for Product Creation (Time to Market, Efficiency, Quality)
- ✓ Commonality
 - Enable product commonality cross brand (Platform, Commodities, etc.)
 - o Improve Horizontal integration <u>across</u> Brands
 - Required to support increased cross brand technology sharing (e.g. CD3s, C1, EU-CD, B2e, etc.)



Proposed Framework for prioritizing Process/ Methods/ IT Enablers

Ford Proprietary



Release Management Current Capabilities

Automated processes exist mostly within given toolsets and functions

- CAD Change Management Workflows (TeamCenter Engineering)
- Worldwide Engineering Release System (Home-grown)
- Hybrid Solutions exist at key functional or organizational touch-points within the Product Lifecycle
 - CAD & Engineering (CAD / BOM Reconciliation)
 - Engineering & Purchasing (Part / Supplier Sourcing)
- Non-Automated workflow processes tend to be found at soft-points within our processes.
 - Time based triggers (BOM Scrub to meet milestones)
 - Less Formal Approval Required (Early Vehicle Program Changes)

Wide variation in CAD release methods cross functions

Powertrain, Chassis, Body and across Vehicle/PT Development Centers

• Overall loss of business efficiency due to:

- Variation in methods on global programs vehicle teams follow different process based upon localized methods
- Audit-based process adds unnecessary time and complexity to release/change process, and false sense of
 integrity of release/change event



Strategic Workflow Direction





Objective:

- Deliver <u>streamlined global</u> Process for P-Release and Change Management built upon the principle of ensuring BOM and supporting virtual data accurate and validated prior to P-Release and all production changes by:
 - Use of common global process across all functions and supporting global supplier business environment: eliminates process variation across CBG and/or Engineering Functions.
 - Bundling virtual data into a single Teamcenter object to support virtual review, validation and signoff in Teamcenter: eliminates data re-entry, elimination of CAD information in WERS, eliminates use of review and signoff functionality in PPM Audit.
 - Providing pre-validation tools to ensure that all of the data in the virtual environment supporting the release/change is aligned to the BOM (production drawings/CCTM, in-context DPA validation): eliminates need for PPM Audit validation checks.



Example: New Global Purchasing Strategy

- Global supply base "Aligned Business Framework"
- Reduce key supplier base 50%,
 - Mutual profitability and improving quality
 - Two or three global suppliers per commodity
 - Regional suppliers on an exception basis
- Develop "Commodity Business Plan"
 - 100+ sets of components
 - Reduce complexity and what is the
 - Migration plan with suppliers
 - Technology
 - Manufacturing footprint

















Ford Proprietary









Information Standards for the Industrial Backbone: Driving Efficiencies and Integration

Defining the information landscape required to deliver EPIC and OneFord



Revolutionary \rightarrow New info. Req. Evolutionary

Clean up existing Governance → Info. Scorecard

Working with Global Platform **Programs and Process Owners to leverage** standardized information to deliver GPDS efficiencies and cross-functional integration



Platform **Deployments:** • B • CD

Systems



• BOM file scope (PT/VL)

- Engineering Modules
- Features, and PAF rel.

Results: increased business satisfaction from IT delivery, defragmentation of IT landscape



sustainable

quality

standards and



Examples: PAF enables Global Commodity Hub effectiveness



Working with "left hand side" organizations to deploy standards – PAF example PAF ш BOM PAF ົດ CAD E SCADE: NT SECARITIZED - Sector Sector States in WATER IN INCOMENTATION AND A STATE OF E NFCH BELSTIN HIL-Informer Astronist States ROADER' REAL AND AND A REAL AND A Mfg. PAF DPA PAF Eng E Б CBP PAF PAF

Business Results:

Ξ

Manufacturing Engineering: Without PAF we can't contain the requirements of a global Manufacturing Engineering process Digital Innovation: PAF based reporting drives CAD-BOM alignment, and the CAD structure

Assump

Ы

Attributes (NVH): It's going to greatly improve the process for engineers, enable identifying issues early, and constantly staying on the status of the CAD Global Material Cost: Detailed BOM comparisons that took weeks can be done in minutes, it's a major enabler for us Program Management: prior solutions addressed multiple symptoms, they never provided a platform the business can cross functionally converge, I feel [with PAF] we now have the critical mass



Thank You